IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GHULAM MOHAMMED

WRIT PETITION NO : 10478 of 1995

Between:

1 N.Eayumalai Reddy S/o.Muneppa Reddy
R/o.Vedam Mitakandriga Upanishadvahur (PO)
Srikalahasthi Mandal Chittoor Dist
2 T.Venkata Prasad S/o.T.Sreenivasulu
R/o.4-212,Bodibamitta,Srikalahsthi (PO & M) Chittoor Dist.
3 N.Ramachandra S/o.Govinda Reddy
R/o.17-85,Panagal Post Srikalahasthi Mandal
Chittoor Dist
4 Subramanyam S/o.G.Munirathnam
R/o.D.No.6-11-127,Channa Reddy Colony Tirupathi
Chittoor Dist.
5 I.Krishna Reddy S/o.Ramachandra Reddy
R/o.Beripalle village Chitipiralla Post
Puthalpattu Mandal Chittoor Dist.
6 P.Sudhakara Reddy S/o.P.Kesava Reddy
R/o.Narayana Reddy Palli Village Venuthanapalli Post
Puthalapattu Mandal Chittoor Dist.
7 K.Hemadri S/o.Lakshmaiah Chetty
R/.Kutamyaripalli Village Puthalapatta Mandal
Chittoor Dist.

....PETITIONER(S)

AND

1 The Dist Employment Officer
Chittoor Dist Chittoor.
2 The Executive Officer Tirumala Tirupathi Devastanam
Tirupathi Chittoor Dist.

..RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in ignoring the petitioners to call for interviews for the posts of Attenders in the 2nd respondent Devasthanam, is illegal, arbitrary and untenable and further declare that calling some of the juniors of the petitioners for the interviews for the posts of Attenders, ignoring the petitioners is violative of Art.14 of the Constitution of India and further direct the respondents to do justice to the petitioners.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.S.R.SANKU

Counsel for the Respondent No.1.: GP FOR EMPLOYMENT & TRAINING

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Mr.A..Jaya Prakash Rao, Standing Counsel for T.T.D.

The Court made the following :

ORDER

It is represented by Mr.K.Neelakanteswara Rao, Advocate for Mr.S.R.Sanku, counsel appearing for the petitioners that the cause in this writ petition has become in fructuous.

Recording the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the Writ Petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

28.10.2004

Hsd

Assistant Registrar

// true copy //

Section Officer

To,

1 The Dist Employment Officer
Chittoor Dist Chittoor.
2 The Executive Officer Tirumala Tirupathi Devastanam
Tirupathi Chittoor Dist.

3. 2 CCs to G.P. for Emplyment and Training, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

4. 2 C.D. copies